

BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS, LLP

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW

590 W. El Camino Real, Mountain View, CA 94040
Telephone: (650) 961-8300 Facsimile: (650) 961-8301
www.beyerlaw.com,

FACSIMILE COVER SHEET

July 10, 2003

Receiver:

Examiner Eric B. Kiss

United States Patent and Trademark

Art Unit 2122

TEL#:

703-305-7737

FAX#:

703-746-7239

Sender:

Ramin Mahboubian

Reg. No. 44,890

Re:

Application No. 09/540,576 Filing Date March 31, 2000

Docket No. SUN1P252/P4198

Pages Including Cover Sheet(s): 15

MESSAGE:

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE

The information contained in this facsimile (FAX) message is legally privileged and confidential information intended only for the use of the receiver or firm named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended receiver, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copy of this FAX is strictly prohibited. If you have received this FAX in error, please immediately notify the sender at the telephone number provided above and return the original message to the sender at the address above via the United States Postal Service. Thank you.

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Field et al. Attorney Docket No.: SUN1P252/P4198 Application No.: 09/540,576 Examiner: Kiss, Eric B. Filed: March 31, 2000 Group: 2122 Title: DEBUGGER PROTOCOL GENERATOR CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted by facsimile to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on July 10, 2003. Agnes Spence APPLICANT INITIATED INTERVIEW REQUEST FORM Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 Tentative Participants: 1) R. Mahboubian, 44,890 3) 4) Proposed Date of Interview: July 17, 2003 Proposed Time: 16:30(AM/PM) Type of Interview Requested: Mark Telephone Personal ☐ Video Conference Exhibit to be Shown or Demonstrated: Yes \boxtimes No If yes, provide brief description: ISSUES TO BE DISCUSSED Issues Claims/Fig., Prior Art Discussed Agreed Not Agreed (Rej., Obj., etc.) #s new claims 1)Patentability You et al. 20-30

1

2

1-9

Java

Tradmark

Java One

Presentation

2) 102 rejection

3) 112 rejection

4) On Sale

Bar/103

7/1903

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF AGRUMENTS TO BE PRESENTED:

- 1) Features not taught by the prior art: the formal specification defining a high level debugging communication protocol for communication between the first and second virtual machines; the front-end debugger program corresponding to a platform independent programming language which provides a high level debugging interface which can be accessed by a debugger application operating on a first virtual machine; the back-end debugger program code portion implementing a virtual machine debugging interface which provides the capability to control and communicate with a second Virtual machine, the back-end debugger program code portion corresponding to a platform-specific programming language; also see claims dependent on claim 20.
- 2) Features not taught Generating a front-end debugger program portion from the formal specification based on the parsing of the formal specification; and generating a back-end debugger program portion from the formal specification based on the parsing of the formal specification.
- 3) Use of a Trademark language in claims does not render the claim indefinite per se. In this case, clearly use of Java in the context of a well-known and defined programming language is permissible. However, use of language such as a "Sun Server" may require further clarification.
- 4) a) Does the Examiner mean On sale Bar or public disclosure? please clarify
- b) It seems that the Examiner is referencing Java Two material which cannot be considred prior art, please clarify
- c) Where in Java one Presentation generating of a front-end and back-end programs from a formal specification is taught?
 - d) Why would be obvious?

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on

*Note: This form should be completed be applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP §713.01). This application will not be delay from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFT 1.33(b)) as soon as possible.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature)

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

→ JUL. 10. 2003 3:14PM

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Field et al.

Attorney Docket No.:

Application No.: 09/540,576

SUN1P252/P4198

Filed: March 31, 2000

Examiner: Kiss, Eric B.

Title: DEBUGGER PROTOCOL GENERATOR

#16 TID.

Group: 2122

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION
I hereby certify that trill correspondence is being transmitted by facsimile to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on July 10, 2003.

Amendment C

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated April 10, 2003, please enter the following amendment in the above-identified application,

Amendments to the claims begin on page 2 of this paper.

Remarks begin on page 8 of this paper.

07/17/2003 TDADE1

00000001 500388 09540576

01 FC:1201 02 FC:1202

168.00 DA

216.00 DA